





Drain: Harvey Gwin **Drain #:** 8495
Improvement/Arm: _____
Operator: J. Livingston **Date:** 1-5-04
Drain Classification: Urban/Rural **Year Installed:** 1881




GIS Drain Input Checklist

- Pull Source Documents for Scanning
- Digitize & Attribute Tile Drains
- Digitize & Attribute Storm Drains
- Digitize & Attribute SSD
- Digitize & Attribute Open Ditch
- Stamp Plans
- Sum drain lengths & Validate
- Enter Improvements into Posse
- Enter Drain Age into Posse
- Sum drain length for Watershed in Posse
- Check Database entries for errors





**Gasb 34 Footages for Historical Cost
Drain Length Log**

Drain-Improvement: HARVEY GRIND - RECONSTRUCTION

Drain Type:	Size:	Length ()	Length (DB Query)	Length Reconcile	If Applicable	
					Price:	Cost:
DREDGING THE OPEN DITCH (3/35)	—	0'			19.55/lf	61289.25

Sum: 0 \$ 6,289.25

Final Report: _____

Comments:



SURVEYOR'S OFFICE

Hamilton County

Kenton C. Ward, Surveyor

Suite 146

776-8495

One Hamilton County Square

Noblesville, Indiana 46060-2237

~~December 7, 1995~~

TO: Hamilton County Drainage Board

RE: Harvey Gwinn Drain

Attached is the drain map, drainage shed map and schedule of assessments for the Harvey Gwinn Drain. This drain is presently number three (#3) on the Drain Classification List for maintenance. At this time number one (#1) and number two (#2) have already been set up for maintenance through hearing held this year. This drain was requested for classification by Eugene D. O'Mahoney on March 14, 1980.

The Harvey Gwinn Drain was petitioned for through the Madison Circuit Court in 1881 as an open ditch from STA 0 to Prairie Creek, now known as the William Locke Drain. At sometime prior to June 1899, a portion of the drain was tiled. A reference in a Surveyor's Field Book dated June 29, 1899 refers to STA 33+70 as the end of the tile. The drain was apportioned for repair in 1907, 1923, 1927, 1936, 1938, 1939, 1948, 1949 and 1950. In 1965, the drain was reconstructed through the Hamilton County Circuit Court. Since that time repair work has been done by the property owners.

The drain now consists of a total of 19,650 feet, per the 1965 reconstruction. Of that length, 3,370 feet consists of tile and 16,280 feet of open ditch. Of the tile length, approximately 1,470 feet is in Madison County and 1,900 feet in Hamilton County. The total Hamilton County length is 18,620 feet, which is ninety-four (94%) percent of the drains length within Hamilton County. The drainage shed consists of 1,255.83 total acres of which according to the Madison County Surveyor's Office, 172.31 acres lies within Madison County. Hamilton County has 1,083.52 acres, which consists of eighty-six (86%) percent of the drainage shed. The percent of drainage shed and length of drain meets the requirements set out in IC 36-9-27-14 (d) for the lesser affected county, Madison County, in this situation, to waive its rights to be represented on a Joint Board. The Madison County waived its

right to a Joint Board in November of this year.

At this time, I recommend the Hamilton County Drainage Board place the Harvey Gwinn Drain a maintenance program as per IC 36-9-27-38 through 45. The maintenance shall cover the entire length of the drain in both counties, from STA 0 to STA 196+50, which is its terminus into the Locke Drain.

The nature of the work required is as follows:

- A. Clearing of trees and brush on the existing open ditch;
- B. Creation and re-excavation of silt basin;
- C. Re-excavation of open ditch to original grade line;
- D. Surface water structure as might be required;
- E. Bank erosion protection and/or seeding as might be required;
- F. Repair of private tile outlet ends as might be required;
- G. Repair of regulated drain tile outlets;
- H. Repair of broken tile on regulated drains;
- I. Installation of breather pipes as might be required;
- J. Removal of debris and/or blockage from regulated tile and open ditch;
- K. Cleaning and/or repair of existing catch basin as might be required;
- L. Any other repairs deemed to be applicable and necessary by the Surveyor to restore the drain to it's original intended use and condition.


The frequency with which maintenance work should be performed is annually as required by the condition of the drain.

I estimate the amount of the annual cost for periodic maintenance of this drain will be \$3,884.70 and attached hereto is the name and address of each owner, the legal description as shown by the tax duplicate or record of transfers by the county, wherein the land is situated, which lands, in my opinion, will be affected by the proposed improvement and the public roads or highways owned by the county or by the State of Indiana by name or number.

I have reviewed the drainage shed and upon considering each parcel individually, I believe that each parcel will have equal benefits as provided by the drain. Therefore, I recommend a

maintenance assessment of \$3.00 per acre with a minimum annual assessment of \$10.00. With this assessment, total annual assessment for the drain will be \$3,884.70.

I request a hearing for this proposal be set for ^{Feb.}~~January~~ 1996.



Kenton C. Ward
Hamilton County Surveyor
KCW/no

Harvey Swinn Ditch 6/29/99

Beg on East line of Anderson
 13+95 County line
 33+70 West line of Ed Anderson
~~46 West line Anderson Anderson~~
 46 West line Anderson Anderson
 59+58 West line Sam Fisher
 B.M. Old hickory tree on left at 69+6
 73+38 West line Jones
 91+30 W. st line Aldred
 B.M. near swamp ash row right at 98+30
 102+65 W line Davis
 112 W line Quick
 126+12 W line Robinett
 139+65 W line Leman
 154+40 B.M. on Elm tree on left
 155+65 W line Zimmerman
 170+55 W line Coaster Brant
 181+25 W line Walling & Road
 196+50 End of Ditch on W. L. Coaster

33+70 End of title

th on Anderson Anderson
 th on Sam Fisher
 th on Ed Jones
 th on Marion Aldred
 th on Davis
 th on Quick
 th on Coaster Robinett
 th on James Leman
 th on Zimmerman
 th on Conrad Coaster
 th on Alex Stephens
 th on Wm H. Coaster

Addison Fisher Lapel
 John Reynolds Anderson

Co-partners }
 Harvey Quinn } A petition of drainage
 against confirmed Edgah Williams and
 Thomas P. Harris,
 Edgah Williams and Daniel M. Scott the Commissioner of
 Drainage for Madison County Indiana and file and return
 in open Court the report of their dring in this behalf in these
 words to wit:

To the Honorable judge of the Madison Circuit Court,

The undersigned to whom was referred the petition
 of Harvey Quinn for the drainage of the following described Real
 Estate to wit: The Southwest quarter of the North East quarter and
 twenty two (22) acres off of the North end of the North West quarter of the
 South East quarter and twenty two (22) acres off of the North end of
 the North East quarter of the South West quarter all in section twenty
 one Township nineteen North, Range Six East in said County,
 respectfully report that they have inspected personally all the
 lands described in said petition and all other lands liable to be
 affected by the construction of the proposed work and are of
 opinion that the proposed drainage will improve the Public
 health in that neighborhood, benefit a public highway and
 be of public utility in the county and that the same can be
 accomplished at an expense less than the aggregate benefits
 to the lands to be affected thereby and after causing the neces-
 sary surveys and examinations to be made, they determine that
 the method of drainage should be as follows to wit: By the con-
 struction of a ditch commencing at the west line of the twenty two
 acres off of the North end of the North East quarter of the North
 West quarter in section twenty one, Township nineteen North in
 Range Six East and running from thence in a general Westerly
 direction into the County of Hamilton in said state until it
 shall reach Main Ditch in said Hamilton County, that said
 ditch at the point of commencement should be three feet deep
 and eight feet wide at the bottom and seven feet wide at the top,
 that it should continue gradually to widen and deepen at the
 lower end so as to give a uniform fall upon the bottom
 thereof during its whole length of twelve feet per mile,

The banks to be cut smooth and with uniform slope and
 the earth excavated to be deposited not nearer than two feet
 from the top of the banks. They estimate the cost of con-
 structing the work at Fifteen Hundred dollars. They further

report that
 to the sever
 the work
Quinn
 Harvey Q
 -
 -
 Quinn
 Lucinda H
 Edward A
 -
 Quinlan
 Edward C
 Andrew L
 John G
 Sarah H
 Lydia A
 Catherine
 Rachel
 Selina M
 Stephen D
 Jacob H
 Albert G
 Samuel
 -
 -
 Samuel
 -
 -
 Jacob H
 -
 Edward
 -
 Marice

418 Petition of drainage
 performed, Elph. William to construct
 - Thomas P. Harris,
 the Commissioner of
 road file and return
 in this behalf in this

Circuit Court,
 was referred the petition
 following described Real
 Northeast quarter and
 the North West quarter of the
 acres off of the North end of
 section all in section twenty
 East in said County,
 cited personally all the
 other lands liable to be
 and work and all of
 will improve the Public
 a public highway and
 about the same can be
 in the aggregate benefits
 after causing the neces-
 made, they determine that
 is to wit: By the con-
 west line of the twenty two
 quarter of the South
 township nineteen North in
 we in a general Westly
 in said state until it
 other County, that said
 should be three feet deep
 feet wide at the top,
 sides and deeper at the
 it upon the bottom
 twelve feet per mile,
 uniform slope and
 no more than two feet
 estimate the cost of con-
 red dollars. They further

report that they have estimated the benefits and injury
 to the several tracts of land which will be affected by
 the work as follows:

Owner	Description	Injury	Benefit
Harvey Garrison	S. 11. 1/4 N. 6. 1/4 S. 21. T. 19. R. 6. E.		\$ 9000
"	S. 6. 1/4 N. 6. 1/4 S. 21. T. 19. R. 6. E.		2500
"	32 a. off line N. 6. 1/4 S. 21. T. 19. R. 6. E.		31.00
"	22 a. off N. end N. 6. 1/4 S. 21. T. 19. R. 6. E.		2000
David Leonard	1/4 off S. end N. 6. 1/4 S. 21. T. 19. R. 6. E.		2000
Lucinda Huffman	S. 11. 1/4 N. 6. 1/4 S. 21. T. 19. R. 6. E.		6000
Edward Anderson	N. 11. 1/4 S. 11. 1/4 S. 21. T. 19. R. 6. E.		6500
"	S. 11. 1/4 S. 11. 1/4 S. 21. T. 19. R. 6. E.		2500
Hamilton County			
Edward Anderson	} S. 6. 1/4 S. 6. 1/4 S. 20. T. 19. R. 6. E.		5500
Amos Anderson			5500
John Anderson			5500
Sarah Huntzinger			5500
Sydia Nicholson			5500
Catherine Huntzinger			5500
Rachel Wise			5500
Selila McClintock			
Stephen S. Anderson			
Jacob Huffman	S. 6. 1/4 N. 6. 1/4 S. 20. T. 19. R. 6. E.		6500
Albert Gibbs	S. 11. 1/4 N. 6. 1/4 S. 20. T. 19. R. 6. E.		6500
Samuel Fisher	S. 6. 1/4 S. 11. 1/4 S. 20. T. 19. R. 6. E.		4000
"	N. 6. 1/4 S. 11. 1/4 S. 20. T. 19. R. 6. E.		6000
"	} commencing at S. 11. corner of S. 6. 1/4 N. 11. 1/4 of Dec 20 running thence back to Road thence S. 6. to Sec. line op. said S. 6. 1/4 of S. 11. 1/4 of Dec 20 thence West to beginning containing 48 acres commencing at S. 6. corner S. 6. 1/4 N. 11. 1/4 S. 25 thence N. 48 rods thence N. to E. line of John F. Farrar 3 acre tract thence S. 6. to S. line of S. 6. 1/4 N. 11. 1/4 S. 20 thence E. to beginning, containing 24 acres		50.00
Jacob Reddick			5000
Edward Jones		S. 11. 1/4 S. 11. 1/4 S. 20. T. 19. R. 6. E.	
"	S. 11. 1/4 S. 11. 1/4 S. 20. T. 19. R. 6. E.		5800
Marion Aldred	32 a. off S. end N. 6. 1/4 S. 21. T. 19. R. 6. E.		5800

Grantee	Description	Survey	Amount
Mariane Aldred	2 1/2 Ac. 1/4 E. side S. E. 1/4 S. E. 1/4 S. 26 S. 19 R. 6. E.		37.00
Allen G. Davis	E. 1/2 S. 1/2 S. E. 1/4 S. 19 S. 19 R. 6. E.		31.00
-	E. 1/2 N. E. 1/4 S. E. 1/4 S. 19 S. 19 R. 6. E.		30.00
-	and a strip 15 rods wide off of the N. side E. 1/2 S. E. 1/4 S. 19 S. 19 R. 6. E.		27.50
Harrie Chick	1 1/2 N. 1/2 S. E. 1/4 S. 19 S. 19 R. 6. E.		25.00
-	1 1/2 S. E. 1/4 S. E. 1/4 S. 19 S. 19 R. 6. E.		25.00
Mary A. Robie	S. 1/2 S. E. 1/4 S. 19 S. 19 R. 6. E.		30.00
Mary Caster	Commencing at S. W. corner S. 19 running thence N. 54 Rods thence East 90 rods thence S. 54 Rods thence West 80 rods to beginning		16.00
Matthew Caster	Commencing 54 Rods S. of S. W. corner S. 19 thence N. 46 rods E. 90 rods S. 46 Rods N. 70 rods to beginning and a strip 49 rods wide off N. side S. 19		32.00
Martin Caster	S. 1/2 S. E. 1/4 S. 19 and commencing 129 Rods East of S. W. corner S. 19 thence North 78 Rods thence East 12 Rods South		
Hester Caster	20 Rods West 23 rods to beginning		
James H. Caster	1/2 - a strip 19 rods wide off the East side of S. E. 1/4 S. E. 1/4 S. 19		25.00
Elizabeth Fisher	N. 1/2 S. E. 1/4 S. 19 S. 19 R. 6. E.		30.00
John S. Guismann	N. E. 1/4 S. E. 1/4 S. 24 S. 19 R. 6. E.		30.00
-	S. E. 1/4 S. E. 1/4 S. 24 S. 19 R. 6. E.		30.00
Leonard Caster	N. 1/2 S. E. 1/4 S. E. 1/4 S. 24 S. 19 R. 6. E. Commencing at N. E. corner of S. 1/2 S. 19 Sec 24 thence West 26 2/3 Rods thence South 16 Rods thence East 26 2/3 rods thence North 16 Rods to beginning and a strip 26 2/3 rods wide off West side of S. E. 1/4 S. E. 1/4 of Sec 24 E. 1/2 N. 1/2 S. E. 1/4 S. 24 S. 19 R. 6. E.		55.00
John Caster	Commencing 16 Rods North of N. E. corner of S. 1/2 S. 19 of Sec 24 thence 26 2/3 Rods West thence South 16 Rods thence East 26 2/3 rods thence North 16 Rods to beginning		5.00
Stanton Fellers and	Commencing 32 Rods South of		

Relation TERM, 1881

188 ORDER BOOK, MADISON CIRCUIT COURT,

DAY,

TERM, 188

Injury	Benefit
98.6.6	50.00
2.6.6	30.00
2.6.6	30.00
the	
2.6.6	27.50
2.6.6	25.00
2.6.6	25.00
2.6.6	50.00
S. 19	
East 70	
88 rods	10.00
more of 18	
4 rods	
at a	
de S. 18	
of 129	
19th	
South	
by	50.00
the	
	25.00
2.6.6	50.00
2.6.6	30.00
2.6.6	30.00
2.6.6	15.00
S. 17 1/2	
the	
to rods	
ing	
off	
24	
2.5.6	55.00
of N.E.	
26 1/2	
Rods	
North	
etc of	5.00

Owners	Description	Injury	Benefit
George S. Leters	N.E. corner of S. 17 1/2 S. 24 Sec 24		
Thomas Vester	26 1/2 Rods thence South 16 Rods thence East 26 1/2 Rods thence North 16 Rods to beginning S.E. 1/4 S. 17 1/2 S. 24 T. 19 N. S. 6 Commencing at the N.E. corner of N.E. 1/4 S. 17 1/2 Sec 24 thence North 2 Rods thence West 26 1/2 Rods thence North 48 Rods thence N. 26 1/2 Rods thence South 78 Rods thence East 35 1/2 Rods to beginning S.E. 1/4 N. 17 1/2 S. 19 T. 19 N. S. 6 N.E. 1/4 N.E. 1/4 S. 29 T. 19 N. S. 6	5.00	25.00
George McDonald			25.00
Thomas Wood			25.00

Thomas P. Harris
Elijah Williams
Commissioners

We, the undersigned Commissioners of Drainage, swear that we have carefully examined each tract of land assessed for benefits and damages and the whole route of the proposed work and that such drain is of sufficient capacity to carry without overflowing all the water which flows or should flow along such route, that no other lands than those described in this report will be either benefited or damaged by the construction of the proposed work and that all the statements therein are true and correct and that all assessment of benefits and injuries set forth therein are correct, just, fair and equitable to all parties concerned as we very believe

J. M. Scott)
Elijah Williams)
Commissioners

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 25th day of October 1881
Jesse L. Henry Clerk

And the court being well advised in the premises and three days having been allowed for the review of said land affected by the work proposed to reconstruct against the Report of the Commissioners of Drainage and no remonstrance against the same having been made the Court now orders and decrees that the proposed work for Drainage of petitioners lands be established and constructed according to said Report herein, and the Court orders and decrees that the assessment of benefits and damages for the proposed work as reported by the Commissioners of Drainage as herebefore set out in said report be approved in all things and the Court direct Elijah Williams one of said Commissioners of Drainage to construct and make the proposed work and this matter is quitted for the Report of said Commissioners and said Commissioner is ordered to pay the costs and charges in and about the petition accrued,

FILED
OCT 1 1964
R. J. ...
CLERK HAMILTON CIRCUIT COURT

REPORT

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION
OF DENT SHERRILL & RALPH WHITE,
ET AL, FOR THE REPAIR OF THE
HARVEY GWINN DITCH

CAUSE NO. 64 211

WORTH CASTOR, Attorney

STATE OF INDIANA)
COUNTY OF HAMILTON)

SS:

IN THE HAMILTON CIRCUIT COURT
CAUSE NO. 64 21

FILED
OCT 1 1964

Roland Lurkey
CLERK HAMILTON CIRCUIT COURT

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION
OF DENT SHERRILL & RALPH WHITE,
ET AL FOR THE REPAIR OF THE
HARVEY GWINN DITCH.

REPORT OF SURVEYOR AND VIEWERS

The undersigned, surveyor and viewers to whom was referred the above entitled petition for the repair of the Harvey Gwinn Ditch, in Madison and Hamilton County, in the State of Indiana, respectively report that as directed by the order and mandate of said court, we met and made a personal inspection of lands described in said petition and all lands likely to be affected by the proposed work, and we respectfully report as follows:

1. That the drainage proposed is practicable.
2. That the proposed drainage when accomplished will improve the drainage for which it was designed and intended; that it will benefit the public highways hereinafter described; that it will decrease water damage to agricultural crops grown on the land affected by such drain; that it will improve public health.
3. That the costs, damages and expences of affecting the proposed cleanout and repair of said Harvey Gwinn Ditch will be less than the benefits to the owners of lands likely to be benitted by the proposed work of repairs.
4. We have definitely determined and best and cheapest method of cleaning and repairing said drain, the terminal and route, location, character of the proposed work and fixed the same by metes and bounds, courses and distances, and description, including grades and bench marks, all necessary Arms, estimated the cost thereof, didided the ditch or drain into sections of not more than one hundred feet in length, and computed and set out the number of cubic yards of excavation in each section, assess the benefits or damages, as the case may be, to each separate tract of land in the water shed to be affected thereby and to easements held by railroads or other public or private corporations, and to make this report to the court under oath

FILED
OCT 1 1964

Roland Gulkey
CLERK HAMILTON CIRCUIT COURT

GENERAL SPECIFICATIONS

REQUIREMENTS: That the ditch be repaired by cleaning the banks 5 feet from break of banks of all brush and shrubs, cleaning out the channel by removing all brush and slush, boulders and other debris and depositing the same not nearer than 5 feet from the break of banks, All brush to be burned along tillable land. All dirt, slush, boulders and so forth to be leveled so it can be farmed over, with bulldozer or other mechanical equipment. The curves to be flattened out on the inside corners. Also, straighten where indicated by the Engineer. The contractor shall not pull out any shrubs along the ditch banks, where it will cause unnecessary damage to the slope of the banks, but shall cut off flush with the ground line. The Contractor to remove and replace any a and all fences necessary to do the work and water gaps, replace in as good a condition as practicable without using any new material; work to be done with drag line machine or other mechanical equipment. The work to be advertised and awarded to the lowest and best bidder. The Engineer reserves the right to accept or reject any or all bids. The Contractor to furnish bond for the completion of the work; also for the assurance that all claims will be paid. The Contractor to carry compensation insurance and security bond protecting the Engineer and property owners from all damages. The work to be paid by the property owners when contract is awarded.

All work to be done in a neat and workmanlike manner. The assessments will be due and payable when work is started. The apportioned assessments are on the acreage basis. The Contractor is not to receive more than 70% of work completed as work progresses. The Contractor to receive payments only when assessments are paid in. The work to be completed on or before:

The work is to be expedited as rapidly as practicable after starting. The Contractor shall not remove the equipment from the work without the consent of the Engineer.

The work to be bid in one lump sum for complete job.

Final payment will not be paid until proof of claims are presented and 90 days from the completion of the work and proof that all claims having been paid.

The Contractor to meet all requirements of the State pertaining to questionnaire and furnish financial statement.

The Contractor to furnish evidence of experience and equipment.

All stumps and shrubs cut off to be treated with an acceptable Brush Control Chemical, as recommended by Brush Control Extension Leaflet of Purdue University Agriculture Extension Service.

All limbs from trees to be trimmed so they clear the ground by 8 feet.

No brush to be covered by waste dirt unless approved by the Engineer.

The contractor to clean out from under all highway and private bridges.

The Contractor to remove and relay any pipe culverts that are not to grade.

SPECIFICATION FOR THE CLEANOUT OF THE HARVEY GWINN OPEN DRAIN

LOCATION: This drains a part of Madison and Hamilton Counties in the State of Indiana located as follows; the tile begins near the center of Section 21, Township 19 North, Range 6 East in Madison County and runs in a westerly direction to an open drain near the center of Section 20, Township 19 North, Range 6 East, thence follows on and along an open drain in a westerly direction to its terminus in an open Dredge Drain, known as the Wm. Lock Dredge Drain near the Northwest corner of the Southwest Quarter of Section 24, Township 19 North, Range 5 East in Hamilton County, Indiana; Also the beginning point is approximately one half mile west and approximately three-quarter mile north of the intersection of State Roads Nos. 13 and 32 and about one-quarter mile east of an angling road approximately two and one-half miles northwest of Lapel, Indiana and its terminus is approximately six and one-half (6½) miles northeast of Noblesville, Indiana.

RECOMMENDATIONS: This drain has not been cleaned or repaired since 1936, The original drain was one (1) foot wide in the bottom.

Through the years the banks have corroded and more or less have created a new channel in places, brush and debris, rock have filled the channel causing it not to function as original. We recommend the new channel be widened to a three (3) foot bottom, follow the old channel and straighten where required and use the new cut to fill the old channel.

Where the ditch crosses the Highway between Section 19 and 20 in Township 19 North, Range 6 East between the farms of Harry Morris and Margaret Hanson, we recommend that the New Structure be put on line to straighten the ditch across the highway.

ESTIMATES AND QUANTITIES FOR THE HARVEY GWINN DRAIN

Length of Drain Approximately	16,720 feet	
Approximately 16,720 cubic yards Excavation		\$6,757.00
Clearing, leveling, spraying, fence repair and replacing		
Attorney Fees		\$525.00
Superintendent of Construction		400.00
Recording and releasing		75.00
Contingencies		\$700.89
Court Costs		100.00
Viewers		100.00
Engineering and helpers		<u>350.00</u>
		<u>\$2,250.00</u>
Total costs		\$9,007.89

ASSESSMENT SCHEDULE FOR THE HARVEY GWINN OPEN DRAIN

1964

NAMES	DESCRIPTION OF LANDS	Section	Township	Range	Acres Assessed		Acres Benefited		Amount of Benefits		Amount of Assessment		Total Assessment	
					A	Hun	A	Hun	\$	Cts.	\$	Cts.	\$	Cts.
ROTHERMEL, CLYDE L.	Pt S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE NW	19	19	6	20	00	20	00	130	00	ok		130	00
R.K. FARMS, INC	W $\frac{1}{2}$ Pt E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE	19	19	6	80	00	80	00	520	00	ok			
do do do	Pt W $\frac{1}{2}$ W S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$	19	19	6	15	00	15	00	97	50	-		617	50
HAZELBAKER, Walter E.	P N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW	19	19	6	40	00	40	00	260	00	ok			
do do	SW SW	19	19	6	40	00	40	00	260	00	ok			
do ^{10/14/64} do	SE SW	19	19	6	40	25	40 25 20	261 57	130 00 261 57				650 00 782 63	
HANSON, MARGARET C.	PT E SE	19	19	6	65	00	65	00	422	50	ok		422	50
ROTHERMEL, CLYDE L & wf.	NE SW	19	19	6	40	00	40	00	260	00	ok		260	00
ANDERSON, O H & J W	Pt NE NW	20	19	6	24	00	24	00	180	00	ok		180	00
SHERRILL, DENT R ET AL	Pt S W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW	20	19	6	15	00	15	00	112	50	ok			
	Pt NE SW Pt SE NW	20	19	6	26	00	26	00	195	00	ok			
	PT E SW	20	19	6	54	00	54	00	405	00	ok			
	PT E SE NW	20	19	6	19	00	19	00	142	50	ok		855	00
MOORE, VIOLA ET AL	PT N $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE	20	19	6	20	00	20	00	150	00	ok			
	PT S $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE	20	19	6	40	00	40	00	300	00	ok		450	00
SHUMAN, JOHN E JEHU.	PT N $\frac{1}{2}$ E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE	20	19	6	20	00	20	00	150	00	ok			
	PT S $\frac{1}{2}$ E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE	20	19	6	40	00	40	00	300	00	ok		450	00
MORRIS, HARRY	W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW	20	19	6	80	00	80	00	600	00	ok		600	00
JONES, EDWARD E	PT W ⁴ SE	20	19	6	60	00	60	00	450	00	ok		450	00
MOORE HARRY et al	Pt SE NW	20	19	6	21	00	21	00	157	50	ok		157	50
HAMILTON COUNTY HIGHWAY DEPT.	highway drainage	19	19	6										
		20	19	6										
		24	19	5					699	00			699	00
Sentry Homer	SE	20	19	6	60	00	60	00	450	00			450	00
TOTAL THIS PAGE														
														86,055.13

5921.50

ASSESSMENT SCHEDULE FOR THE HARVEY GWINN OPEN DRAIN

1964

NAMES	DESCRIPTION OF LANDS	Section	Township	Range	Acres Assessed		Acres Benefited		Amount of Benefits		Amount of Assessment		Total Assessment			
					A	Hun	A	Hun	\$	Cts.	\$	Cts.	\$	Cts.		
BARKER, FLOYD E. & wf	Pt SW	24	19	5	45	00	45	00	247	50	rk		247	50		
BYER, FOREST	PT E E	SW	24	19	5	48	00	48	00	264	00	rk		396	00	
	P W W	SE	24	19	5	24	00	24	00	132	00					
MALLOT RUTH CASTOR NESSIE	E W	SE	24	19	5	50	00	50	00	275	00	rk		275	00	
ALDRED, JOHN	E	SE	24	19	5	80	00	80 50 ^a	00	275 ⁰⁰ 440 58			275 ⁰⁰ 440 58			
ROTHERMIL, Clyde L.	E	NE	30	19	6	40	00	40	00	220	00	rk		220	00	
TOTAL THIS PAGE														81,578.50		1,413.50
Henry Gentry ^{widow} add.														60		
<p>Hearing Nov. 9-1964 at the Surveyor's Office with the following present Judge White, Attorney Marens Passowalis Roy D. Horner - John H. Aldred Walter Hazelbaker Rend R. Sherrill Schue Shuman Harry Mow Wm Clifford Ralph E White</p> <p>also Worth Pastor Attorney John Manship, Survey Madison County</p>																

ASSESSMENT SCHEDULE FOR THE HARVEY GWINN OPEN DRAIN

1964

NAMES	DESCRIPTION OF LANDS	Section	Township	Range	Acres Assessed		Acres Benefited		Amount of Benefits		Amount of Assessment		Total Assessment	
					A	Hun	A	Hun	\$	Cts.	\$	Cts.	\$	Cts.
MADISON COUNTY														
CLIFFORD, WM. & DORTHY	PT NW	NW	21	19	6	22	00	22	00	165	00			
	SW	NW	21	19	6	40	00	40	00	300	00	40'		300 00 405 00
SIMMERMAN, WM. M.	Pt S	NE	NW	21	19	6	5	00	5	00	37	50		
do		SE	NW	21	19	6	40	00	40	00	300	00		
do	Pt W	SW	NE	21	19	6	5	00	5	00	37	50		
do	Pt N 1/2	NE	SW	21	19	6	22	00	22	00	165	00	ok	540 00
WHITE, RALPH E	PT S 1/2	NE	SW	21	19	6	15	75	15	75	118	13		
do Do	Pt N	SE	SW	21	19	6	2	25	2	25	16	88		175 01
u i	Pt SW 1/4	SW	21	19	6	40	00	40	00	300	00	+		435 01
														<i>R add.</i>
MADISON COUNTY HIGHWAY DEPT.	HIGHWAY DRAINAGE		21	19	6					236	25			<u>236 25</u>
	<i>State Highway</i>													
TOTAL THIS PAGE AND FOR														
MADISON COUNTY, INDIANA														
	<i>Change to State Highway Com'g Ordinance #13</i>													<u>81,576.26</u>
	Gentry Honor													60 00 60 00 450 00 450 00
RECAPITULATION														
	Page No. 1 total	(Hamilton County)								86,053.13				63 71 50
	Page No. 2 total	(Hamilton County)								81,578.50				14 13.50
	Page No. 3 total	(Madison County)								<u>81,576.26</u>				15 11 26
	TOTAL ASSESSMENTS									89,007.89				92 96 26
Divided as follows:														
	Hamilton County									87631.63				77 85.00
	Madison County									<u>81,576.26</u>				15 11.26
	Totaling									89,007.89				\$ 9296.26

The estimate has been made and cost divided equally among the different lands and County and State Highways of Hamilton County affected. The assessment sheet attached, attorney fees, if any, court costs, incidentals, viewers, engineers, helpers, mileage, stakes and superintendent of construction.

R. D. Horney, or his authorized assistant will have full charge of the work and no work shall be changed or deviated from without his approval.

STATE OF INDIANA)
) SS:
COUNTY OF HAMILTON

I, the undersigned engineer to whom was referred the above entitled petition for construction, after being duly appointed by virtue of his authority invested in him by his Office of Hamilton County Surveyor, say that I have personally examined the whole line of proposed ditch. that the lands assessed herein made are correct, just and equitable to all concerned herein as interested, that no other lands will be benefited or injured by said proposed drain and that the above and foregoing report is true in substance and in fact as I verily believe.

R. D. Horney
R. D. HORNEY, SURVEYOR of Hamilton
County, Indiana

(Authority)

APPROVED _____

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 1 day of Oct. 1964
1964.

John Mansing Viewer

Marcus E. Passwater Viewer

R. D. Horney
R. D. Horney, Surveyor of Hamilton
County

Roland Lulkey
Clerk, Hamilton County
Circuit Court